arXiv:1211.2678v1 [math.AG] 12 Nov 2012

A PROOF OF GROTHENDIECK-SERRE CONJECTURE ON PRINCIPAL BUNDLES OVER REGULAR LOCAL RINGS CONTAINING INFINITE FIELDS

ROMAN FEDOROV AND IVAN PANIN

Abstract. Let R be a regular local ring, containing an infinite field. Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a reductive group scheme over R. We prove that a principal $\mathbf{G}$-bundle over R is trivial, if it is trivial over the fraction field of R.

1. Introduction

Assume that U is a regular scheme, $\mathbf{G}$ is a reductive U-group scheme, and $\mathcal{G}$ is a principal $\mathbf{G}$-bundle. It is well known that such a bundle is trivial locally in étale topology (see e.g. [Gro3, Section 6]) but in general not in Zariski topology. Grothendieck and Serre conjectured that $\mathcal{G}$ is trivial locally in Zariski topology, if it is trivial at all the generic points. More precisely

Conjecture. *Let R be a regular local ring, let K be its field of fractions. Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a reductive group-scheme over $U := \operatorname{spec} R$, let $\mathcal{G}$ be a principal $\mathbf{G}$-bundle. If $\mathcal{G}$ is trivial over $\operatorname{spec} K$, then it is trivial. Equivalently, the map*

$$H^1_{\acute{e}t}(R, \mathbf{G}) \to H^1_{\acute{e}t}(K, \mathbf{G}),$$

induced by the inclusion of R into K, has a trivial kernel.

The main result of this paper is a proof of this conjecture for local rings R, containing infinite fields. Our proof was inspired by the theory of affine Grassmannians. It is also based significantly on the geometric part of the paper [PSV1] of the second author with A. Stavrova and N. Vavilov.

1.1. History of the topic.

Here is a list of known results in the same vein, corroborating the Grothendieck–Serre conjecture.

- The case where the group scheme $\mathbf{G}$ comes from the ground field k is completely solved by J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, M. Ojanguren, M. S. Raghunatan and O. Gabber: in [CTO] and [Rag1], [Rag2] when k is infinite; O. Gabber [Gab1] announced a proof for an arbitrary ground field k.
- The case of an arbitrary reductive group scheme over a discrete valuation ring is completely solved by Y. Nisnevich in [Nis].
- The case where $\mathbf{G}$ is an arbitrary torus over a regular local ring was settled by J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc in [CTS].
- For some simple group schemes of classical series the conjecture is solved in works of the second author, A. Suslin, M. Ojanguren and K. Zainoulline [PS1], [OP], [Zai], [OPZ].

Key words and phrases. Reductive group schemes; Principal bundles.

- Under an isotropy condition on $\mathbf{G}$ the conjecture is proven in a short series of preprints [PSV1], [PSV2], [Pan].

- The case of strongly inner simple adjoint group schemes of type E_6 and E_7 is done by the second author, V. Petrov, and A. Stavrova in [PPS]. No isotropy condition is imposed there.

- The case when $\mathbf{G}$ is of the type F_4 with trivial g_3-invariant is settled by V. Chernousov in [Che], the case when $\mathbf{G}$ is of the type F_4 with trivial f_3-invariant is settled by V. Petrov and A. Stavrova in [PS2].

1.2. **Acknowledgments.** The authors collaborated during Summer schools "Contemporary Mathematics", organized by Moscow Center for Continuous Mathematical Education. They would like to thank the organizers of the school for the perfect working atmosphere.

The first author benefited from talks with D. Arinkin and A. Braverman. A part of the work was done, while he was a member of Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn.

The second author thanks very much A. Stavrova and N. Vavilov for the very stimulating interest to the topic. He also thanks the RFBR-grant 10–01–00551–a for the support.

2. Main results

Recall that an R-group scheme $\mathbf{G}$ is called *reductive*, if it is affine and smooth as an R-scheme and if, moreover, for each algebraically closed field Ω and for each ring homomorphism $s : R \to \Omega$ the scalar extension $\mathbf{G}_\Omega$ is a connected reductive algebraic group over Ω. This definition of a reductive R-group scheme coincides with [DG, Exp. XIX, Defn. 2.7]. A well-known conjecture due to J.-P. Serre and A. Grothendieck [Ser, Remarque, p.31], [Gro1, Remarque 3, p.26-27], and [Gro2, Remarque 1.11.a] asserts that given a regular local ring R and its field of fractions K and given a reductive group scheme $\mathbf{G}$ over R the map

$$H^1_{\text{ét}}(R, \mathbf{G}) \to H^1_{\text{ét}}(K, \mathbf{G}),$$

induced by the inclusion of R into K, has a trivial kernel. The following theorem, which is the main result of the present paper, asserts that this conjecture is valid, provided that R contains an infinite field.

Theorem 1. *Let R be a regular semi-local domain containing an infinite field, and let K be its field of fractions. Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a reductive group scheme over R. Then the map*

$$H^1_{\text{ét}}(R, \mathbf{G}) \to H^1_{\text{ét}}(K, \mathbf{G}),$$

induced by the inclusion of R into K, has a trivial kernel.

In other words, under the above assumptions on R and $\mathbf{G}$, each principal $\mathbf{G}$-bundle over R having a K-rational point is trivial.

Theorem 1 has the following

Corollary. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1, the map*

$$H^1_{\text{ét}}(R, \mathbf{G}) \to H^1_{\text{ét}}(K, \mathbf{G}),$$

induced by the inclusion of R into K, is injective.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ be two principal $\mathbf{G}$-bundles isomorphic over $\operatorname{spec} K$. We need to prove that they are isomorphic. Let $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2)$ be the scheme of isomorphisms. This scheme is a principal $\operatorname{Aut}\mathcal{G}_2$-bundle. By Theorem 1 it is trivial, and we see that $\mathcal{G}_1 \cong \mathcal{G}_2$. ☐

Note that, while Theorem 1 was previously known for reductive group schemes $\mathbf{G}$ coming from the base field, in certain cases the corollary is a new result even for such groups schemes.

Let $\mathbb{P}^1_U$ be the projective line over U. We deduce Theorem 1 from the following result of independent interest.

Theorem 2. *Let R be the semi-local ring of finitely many closed points on an irreducible smooth affine variety over an infinite field k, set $U = \operatorname{spec} R$. Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a simple simply-connected group scheme over U (see* [DG, Exp. XXIV, Sect. 5.3] *for the definition).*

Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^1_U$ be a closed subset, quasi-finite and surjective over U. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a principal $\mathbf{G}$-bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1_U$ such that its restriction to $\mathbb{P}^1_U - Z$ is trivial. Then there exists a closed subscheme $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^1_U$ étale over U such that $Y \cap Z = \varnothing$ and the restriction of $\mathcal{G}$ to $\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y$ is a trivial $\mathbf{G}$-bundle.

The proof of this result was inspired by the theory of affine Grassmannians (see Section 5). It is also based significantly on the geometric part of the paper [PSV1] of the second author with A. Stavrova and N. Vavilov. Note that though that paper works with isotropic group schemes, its geometric part does not rely on any kind of isotropy assumptions.

2.1. **Organization of the paper.**

In Section 3, we reduce Theorem 1 to Theorem 2. This reduction is based on [Pan], the geometric part of [PSV1], and a theorem of D. Popescu [Pop].

In Section 4 we prove Theorem 2. The main idea is to choose Y such that $\mathbf{G}$ has a parabolic group subscheme defined over Y and modify the bundle $\mathcal{G}$ in a neighborhood of Y. We give an outline of the proof in Subsection 4.1. We use the technique of henselization. An essentially equivalent proof based on formal loops is outlined in Section 5.

In Subsection 5.1 we explain the relation to affine Grassmannians, hinting at the circle of ideas that led to the proof.

In Section 6 we give an application of Theorem 1.

In the Appendix we prove some properties of henselization.

3. Reducing theorem 1 to Theorem 2

The following proposition is an analogue of [PSV1, Theorem 2.1].

Proposition 3.1. *Let U and $\mathbf{G}$ be as in Theorem 2, let $\mathcal{E}_t$ be a principal $\mathbf{G}$-bundle over the affine line $\mathbb{A}^1_U$ and let $h(t) \in R[t]$ be a monic polynomial. Denote by $(\mathbb{A}^1_U)_h$ the open subscheme in $\mathbb{A}^1_U$ given by $h(t) \neq 0$ and assume that the restriction of $\mathcal{E}_t$ to $(\mathbb{A}^1_U)_h$ is a trivial $\mathbf{G}$-bundle. Then for each section $s : U \to \mathbb{A}^1_U$ of the projection $p : \mathbb{A}^1_U \to U$ the $\mathbf{G}$-bundle $s^*\mathcal{E}_t$ on U is trivial.*

Remark. It is not true in general that the $\mathbf{G}$-bundle $\mathcal{E}_t$ is trivial.

Proof. Set $Z := \{h = 0\} \cup s(U) \subset \mathbb{A}^1_U$. Let $\mathbb{A}^1_U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_U$ be the standard embedding. Since the principal **G**-bundle $\mathcal{E}_t$ is trivial over $(\mathbb{A}^1_U)_h$, there exists a principal **G**-bundle $\mathcal{G}$ over $\mathbb{P}^1_U$ such that
(i) its restriction to $\mathbb{A}^1_U$ coincides with $\mathcal{E}_t$;
(ii) its restriction to $\mathbb{P}^1_U - Z$ is trivial.
By Theorem 2 there exists a closed subscheme $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^1_U$ étale over U such that $Y \cap Z = \varnothing$ and the restrictions of $\mathcal{G}$ to $\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y$ is a trivial **G**-bundle. Since $s(U)$ is in $(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y) \cap \mathbb{A}^1_U$ and $\mathcal{G}|_{\mathbb{A}^1_U}$ coincides with $\mathcal{E}_t$, we conclude that $s^*\mathcal{E}_t$ is a trivial principal **G**-bundle over U. □

Proposition 3.2. *Let U and* **G** *be as in Theorem 2. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a principal* **G***-bundle over U, trivial at the generic point of U. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is trivial.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a principal **G**-bundle over U such that its restriction to the generic point is trivial. Under these assumptions the following data are constructed in [PSV1][Section 2]:
(a) a principal **G**-bundle $\mathcal{E}_t$ over $\mathbb{A}^1_U$;
(b) a monic polynomial $h(t) \in R[t]$.
Moreover these data satisfies the following conditions:
(1) the restriction of $\mathcal{E}_t$ to $(\mathbb{A}^1_U)_h$ is a trivial principal **G**-bundle;
(2) there is a section $s : U \to \mathbb{A}^1_U$ such that $s^*\mathcal{E}_t = \mathcal{E}$. Indeed, one constructs a nice triple (see [PSV1, (1)]) and sets (in the notation of loc. cit.) $s := \sigma \circ \delta$. Now it follows from Proposition 3.1 that $\mathcal{E}$ is trivial. □

Proposition 3.3. *Let U be as in Theorem 2. Let* **G** *be a reductive group scheme over U. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a principal* **G***-bundle over U, trivial at the generic point of U. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is trivial.*

Proof. Firstly, using [Pan, Th. 12.0.36] and repeating literally arguments from [Pan, Proof of Th. 1.0.2], we can assume that **G** is semi-simple and simply-connected. Secondly, standard arguments (see for instance [PSV1, Section 12]) show that we can assume that **G** is simple and simply-connected. (Note that for this reduction it is necessary to work with semi-local rings.) Now the proposition is reduced to Proposition 3.2. □

Proof of Theorem 1. Let us prove a general statement first. Let k' be an infinite field, X be a k'-smooth irreducible affine variety, **H** be a reductive scheme over X, $k'(X)$ be the field of rational functions on X. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a principal **H** bundle over X trivial over $k'(X)$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ be prime ideals in $k'[X]$ and let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_1,\dots,\mathfrak{p}_n}$ be the corresponding semi-local ring.

Lemma 3.4. *The principal* **H***-bundle $\mathcal{H}$ is trivial over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_1,\dots,\mathfrak{p}_n}$.*

Proof. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ choose a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_i \subset k'[X]$ containing $\mathfrak{p}_i$. One has inclusions of k'-algebras

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}_1,\dots,\mathfrak{m}_n} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_1,\dots,\mathfrak{p}_n} \subset k'(X).$$

By Proposition 3.3 the principal **H**-bundle $\mathcal{H}$ is trivial over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}_1,\dots,\mathfrak{m}_n}$. Thus it is trivial over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_1,\dots,\mathfrak{p}_n}$. □

Let us return to our situation. Let $\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_n$ be all the maximal ideals of R. Clearly, there is a non-zero $f \in R$ such that $\mathcal{E}$ is trivial over R_f. Let k' be the algebraic closure of the prime field of R in k. It follows from Popescu's theorem ([Pop, Swa]) that R is a filtered inductive limit of smooth k'-algebras R_α. There are an index α, a reductive group scheme $\mathbf{G}_\alpha$ over R_α, a principal $\mathbf{G}_\alpha$-bundle $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$ over R_α, and an element $f_\alpha \in R_\alpha$ such that $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}_\alpha \times_{\operatorname{spec} R_\alpha} \operatorname{spec} R$, $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_\alpha \times_{\operatorname{spec} R_\alpha} \operatorname{spec} R$ as principal $\mathbf{G}$-bundles, f is the image of f_α under the map $\varphi_\alpha : R_\alpha \to R$, $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$ is trivial over $(R_\alpha)_{f_\alpha}$. If the field k' is infinite, then for each maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_i$ in R ($i = 1, \dots, n$) set $\mathfrak{p}_i = \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_i)$. The map φ_α induces a map of semi-local rings $(R_\alpha)_{\mathfrak{p}_1,\dots,\mathfrak{p}_n} \to R$. By Lemma 3.4 the principal $\mathbf{G}_\alpha$-bundle $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$ is trivial over $(R_\alpha)_{\mathfrak{p}_1,\dots,\mathfrak{p}_n}$. Whence the $\mathbf{G}$-bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is trivial over R.

If the field k' is finite, then k contains an element t transcendental over k'. Thus R contains the subfield $k'(t)$ of rational functions in the variable t. So, if $R'_\alpha := R_\alpha \otimes_{k'} k'(t)$, then the map φ_α can be decomposed as follows

$$R_\alpha \to R_\alpha \otimes_{k'} k'(t) = R'_\alpha \xrightarrow{\psi_\alpha} R.$$

Let $\mathbf{G}'_\alpha = \mathbf{G}_\alpha \times_{\operatorname{spec} R_\alpha} \operatorname{spec} R'_\alpha$, $\mathcal{E}'_\alpha = \mathcal{E}_\alpha \times_{\operatorname{spec} R_\alpha} \operatorname{spec} R'_\alpha$, $f'_\alpha = f_\alpha \otimes 1 \in R'_\alpha$, then the $\mathbf{G}'_\alpha$-bundle $\mathcal{E}'_\alpha$ is trivial over $(R'_\alpha)_{f'_\alpha}$.

Let $\mathfrak{q}_i = \psi_\alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. The ring R'_α is a $k'(t)$-smooth algebra over the infinite field $k'(t)$ and the $\mathbf{G}'_\alpha$-bundle $\mathcal{E}'_\alpha$ is trivial over $(R'_\alpha)_{f'_\alpha}$. By Lemma 3.4 the $\mathbf{G}'_\alpha$-bundle $\mathcal{E}'_\alpha$ is trivial over $(R'_\alpha)_{\mathfrak{q}_1,\dots,\mathfrak{q}_n}$. The map ψ_α can be factored as

$$R'_\alpha \to (R'_\alpha)_{\mathfrak{q}_1,\dots,\mathfrak{q}_n} \to R.$$

Thus the $\mathbf{G}$-bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is trivial over R. □

4. Proof of Theorem 2

In what follows "$\mathbf{G}$-bundle" always means "principal $\mathbf{G}$-bundle". Let U and $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^1_U$ be as in Theorem 2. Let $u_1, \dots, u_n$ be all the closed points of U. Let $k(u_i)$ be the residue field of u_i. Consider the closed subscheme $\mathbf{u}$ of U, whose points are $u_1, \dots, u_n$. Thus

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \bigsqcup_i \operatorname{spec} k(u_i).$$

Set $\mathbf{G}_\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{G} \times_U \mathbf{u}$. By $\mathbf{G}_{u_i}$ we denote the fiber of $\mathbf{G}$ over u_i; it is a simple simply-connected algebraic group over $k(u_i)$. Similarly, for a $\mathbf{G}$-bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1_U$ we define $\mathcal{E}_{u_i}$ as its restriction to $\mathbb{P}^1_{u_i}$; it is a principal $\mathbf{G}_{u_i}$-bundle. As well, set $\mathcal{E}_\mathbf{u} = \mathcal{E} \times_U \mathbf{u}$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a $\mathbf{G}$-bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1_U$ such that $\mathcal{E}_{u_i}$ is a trivial $\mathbf{G}_{u_i}$-bundle for all i. Assume that there exists a closed subset T of $\mathbb{P}^1_U$ quasi-finite over U such that the restriction of $\mathcal{E}$ to $\mathbb{P}^1_U - T$ is trivial. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is trivial.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 8.6 of [PSV1]. Theorem 8.6 of [PSV1] is proven in [PSV2, Section 3]. □

4.1. **An outline of the proof of Theorem 2.** A detailed proof will be given in the present text below. Firstly, we give an outline of the proof.

Let Y be a closed subscheme in $\mathbb{A}^1_U$. Denote by $(Y^h, s(Y))$ the henselization of the pair $(\mathbb{A}^1_U, Y)$. Here Y^h is a scheme over $\mathbb{A}^1_U$ and $s : Y \to Y^h$ is a closed embedding of $\mathbb{A}^1_U$-schemes, see Subsection 4.4 for more details. Set $\dot{Y}^h := Y^h - s(Y)$. Let $\mathcal{G}'$ be a $\mathbf{G}$-bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y$. Denote by $\operatorname{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{G}', \varphi)$ the $\mathbf{G}$-bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1_U$ obtained by gluing $\mathcal{G}'$ with the trivial $\mathbf{G}$-bundle $\mathbf{G} \times_U Y^h$ via a $\mathbf{G}$-bundle isomorphism $\varphi : \mathbf{G} \times_U \dot{Y}^h \to \mathcal{G}'|_{\dot{Y}^h}$.

Similarly, set $Y_\mathbf{u} := Y \times_U \mathbf{u}$ and denote by $(Y^h_\mathbf{u}, s_\mathbf{u}(Y_\mathbf{u}))$ the henselization of the pair $(\mathbb{A}^1_\mathbf{u}, Y_\mathbf{u})$. Set $\dot{Y}^h_\mathbf{u} := Y^h_\mathbf{u} - s_\mathbf{u}(Y_\mathbf{u})$. Let $\mathcal{G}'_\mathbf{u}$ be a $\mathbf{G}_\mathbf{u}$-bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1_\mathbf{u} - Y_\mathbf{u}$. Denote by $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathcal{G}'_{\mathbf{u}}, \varphi_{\mathbf{u}})$ the $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$-bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}}$ obtained by gluing $\mathcal{G}'_{\mathbf{u}}$ with the trivial bundle $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}} \times_{\mathbf{u}} Y^h_{\mathbf{u}}$ via a $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$-bundle isomorphism $\varphi_{\mathbf{u}} : \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}} \times_{\mathbf{u}} \dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}} \to \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{u}}|_{\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}}}$.

The idea is to find a closed subscheme $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^1_U$ finite and étale over U such that $Y \cap Z = \varnothing$ and
(a) the $\mathbf{G}$-bundle $\mathcal{G}$ can be presented in the form $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{G}', \varphi)$, where $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G}|_{\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y}$;
(b) there is $\alpha \in \mathbf{G}(\dot{Y}^h)$ such that the $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$-bundle $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{G}', \varphi \circ \alpha)|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}}}$ is trivial (here α is regarded as an automorphism of the $\mathbf{G}$-bundle $\mathbf{G} \times_U \dot{Y}^h$ given by the right translation by the element α). If we find Y and α satisfying conditions (a) and (b), then Proposition 4.1, applied to $T = Y \cup Z$, shows that the $\mathbf{G}$-bundle $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{G}', \varphi \circ \alpha)$ is trivial over $\mathbb{P}^1_U$. On the other hand, its restriction to $\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y$ coincides with the $\mathbf{G}$-bundle $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G}|_{\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y}$. *Thus $\mathcal{G}|_{\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y}$ is a trivial $\mathbf{G}$-bundle.*

To achieve (a) it suffices to take a closed subscheme $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^1_U$ finite étale over U such that Y does not intersect Z.

To achieve (b) is more delicate. To this end, one should find Y as in part (a), satisfying the following properties
(i) the bundle $\mathcal{G}|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}}$ is trivial;
(ii) each element $\gamma_{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}})$ can be written in the form

$$\alpha|_{\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}}} \cdot \beta|_{\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}}}$$

for certain elements $\alpha \in \mathbf{G}(\dot{Y}^h)$ and $\beta \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(Y^h_{\mathbf{u}})$.

If we succeed to find Y satisfying conditions (i) and (ii), then we proceed as follows. Present the $\mathbf{G}$-bundle $\mathcal{G}$ in the form $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{G}', \varphi)$ as above. Observe that

$$\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{G}', \varphi)|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}}} \cong \mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathcal{G}'_{\mathbf{u}}, \varphi_{\mathbf{u}}).$$

where $\mathcal{G}'_{\mathbf{u}} := \mathcal{G}'|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}}$, $\varphi_{\mathbf{u}} := \varphi|_{\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}} \times_{\mathbf{u}} \dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}}}$.

Using property (i), find an element $\gamma_{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}})$ such that the $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$-bundle $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathcal{G}'_{\mathbf{u}}, \varphi_{\mathbf{u}} \circ \gamma_{\mathbf{u}})$ is trivial. For this $\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}$ find elements α and β as in part (ii). Finally take the $\mathbf{G}$-bundle $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{G}', \varphi \circ \alpha)$. Then its restriction to $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}}$ is trivial. Indeed, one has a chain of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$-bundle isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{G}', \varphi \circ \alpha)|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}}} \cong \mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathcal{G}'_{\mathbf{u}}, \varphi_{\mathbf{u}} \circ \alpha|_{\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}}}) \cong \\ \mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathcal{G}'_{\mathbf{u}}, \varphi_{\mathbf{u}} \circ \alpha|_{\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}}} \circ \beta|_{\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}}}) = \mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathcal{G}'_{\mathbf{u}}, \varphi_{\mathbf{u}} \circ \gamma_{\mathbf{u}}),$$

which is trivial by the very choice of $\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}$. Thus, property (b) will be achieved.

To guarantee properties (i) and (ii) one should take Y as in part (a) such that
(iii) for every point $u_i \in \mathbf{u}$ such that the algebraic group $\mathbf{G}_{u_i}$ is isotropic there is a $k(u_i)$-rational point in $Y_{u_i} := \mathbb{P}^1_{u_i} \cap Y$, and
(iv) the group scheme $\mathbf{G}_Y := \mathbf{G} \times_U Y$ has a parabolic group subscheme $\mathbf{P}_Y$.
If Y satisfies conditions (iii) and (iv), then the $\mathbf{G}_{u_i}$-bundle $\mathcal{G}_{u_i}$ is trivial over $\mathbb{P}^1_{u_i} - Y_{u_i}$, provided that $\mathbf{G}_{u_i}$ is isotropic (see [Gil1, Corollary 3.10(a)]). If $\mathbf{G}_{u_i}$ is anisotropic, then $\mathcal{G}_{u_i}$ is trivial even over $\mathbb{P}^1_{u_i}$ (again, by [Gil1, Corollary 3.10(a)]). Thus $\mathcal{G}|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}}$ is trivial. So, (i) is achieved.

To achieve (ii) recall that for a henselian discrete valuation ring A, its fraction field L and a simple group scheme $\mathbf{H}$ over A having a parabolic group subscheme $\mathbf{P}$ one can form a subgroup $\mathbf{E}(L)$ of "elementary" matrices in $\mathbf{H}(L)$. It is known (see [Gil2, Fait 4.3, Lemma 4.5]) that if $\mathbf{H}$ is simply-connected, then every element

$\gamma \in \mathbf{H}(L)$ can be written in the form $\gamma = \alpha \cdot \beta$, where $\alpha \in \mathbf{E}(L)$ and $\beta \in \mathbf{H}(A)$. Applying this observation in our context, we see that $\gamma_{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}})$ from (ii) can be written in the form $\gamma_{\mathbf{u}} = \alpha_{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \beta_{\mathbf{u}}$, where $\beta_{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(Y^h_{\mathbf{u}})$ and $\alpha_{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbf{E}(\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}})$. It remains to observe that the natural homomorphism $\mathbf{E}(\dot{Y}^h) \to \mathbf{E}(\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}})$ is surjective, since $\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}}$ is a closed subscheme of the affine scheme $\dot{Y}^h$, and so (ii) is achieved.

Finally, to achieve (iii) and (iv), one should take the scheme $\mathcal{P}$ of parabolic group subschemes of $\mathbf{G}$. It is a smooth projective scheme over U. Then one should take in an appropriate way several "hyperplane sections" of $\mathcal{P}$. The resulting closed subscheme of $\mathcal{P}$ is our Y. It remains to embed it into $\mathbb{A}^1_U$ and then, using an affine transformation of $\mathbb{A}^1_U$, achieve the property $Y \cap Z = \varnothing$. Realization of this plan in details is given below in the paper.

4.2. **Anisotropic case of Theorem 2.** Assume that $\mathbf{G}_{u_i}$ is anisotropic for all i. Since for all $u_i \in \mathbf{u}$ the restriction of $\mathcal{G}$ to $\mathbb{P}^1_{u_i} - Z$ is trivial, the exact sequence from [Gil1, Corollary 3.10(a)] shows that $\mathcal{G}_{u_i}$ is locally trivial in Zariski topology. Now the second part of [Gil1, Corollary 3.10(a)] shows that $\mathcal{G}_{u_i}$ is trivial.

Thus we can take $Y = \varnothing$ and apply Proposition 4.1.

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 2: choosing Y.** Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2, let $\mathbf{u}$, u_i, $k(u_i)$, $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$, $\mathbf{G}_{u_i}$ be as above.

From now on we assume that there is a closed point $u_i \in U$ such that the group $\mathbf{G}_{u_i}$ is isotropic, that is, $\mathbf{G}_{u_i}$ contains the torus $\mathbb{G}_{m,k(u_i)}$. Let $\mathbf{u}' \subset \mathbf{u}$ be the subset of all closed points u_i such that the group $\mathbf{G}_{u_i}$ is isotropic. By our assumption it is non-empty. Set $\mathbf{u}'' = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}'$. It's possible that the set $\mathbf{u}''$ is empty.

For every u_i in $\mathbf{u}'$ choose a parabolic group subscheme $\mathbf{P}_{u_i}$ in $\mathbf{G}_{u_i}$ (existing by [DG, Cor. 6.18, Exp. XXVI]). Let $\mathcal{P}_i$ be the U-scheme of parabolic group subschemes of $\mathbf{G}$ of the same type as $\mathbf{P}_{u_i}$. It is a smooth projective U-scheme (see [DG, Cor. 3.5, Exp. XXVI]). The group subscheme $\mathbf{P}_{u_i}$ in $\mathbf{G}_{u_i}$ is a $k(u_i)$-rational point p_i on the fibre of $\mathcal{P}_i$ over the point u_i. Using a variant of Bertini theorem, we can find a closed subscheme Y_i of $\mathcal{P}_i$ such that Y_i is étale over U and $p_i \in Y_i$ (take an embedding of $\mathcal{P}_i$ into a projective space $\mathbb{P}^N_U$ and intersect $\mathcal{P}_i$ with appropriately chosen family of quadrics containing the point p_i. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 7.2 from [OP], we get the desired scheme Y_i finite and étale over U.)

Now consider Y_i just as a U-scheme and set $Y = \coprod_{u_i \in \mathbf{u}'} Y_i$. Since the field k is infinite and Y is finite étale over U, we can choose a closed U-embedding of Y in $\mathbb{A}^1_U$. We will identify Y with the image of this closed embedding. Since U is semi-local, we may further assume that $Z \cap Y = \varnothing$ (use the fact that k is infinite and use an appropriative affine U-transformation of $\mathbb{A}^1_U$).

4.4. **Proof of Theorem 2: the main elementary "distinguished" square.** There is a nice theory of henselian pairs (A, I) and, in particular, a nice notion of a henselization A^h_I of a commutative ring A at an ideal I (see [Gab2, Section 0]). We give more details and prove some properties of henselization in the Appendix. The geometric counterpart is this. Let $S = \operatorname{spec} A$ be a scheme and $T = \operatorname{spec}(A/I)$ be a closed subscheme. Then we define a category $\operatorname{Neib}(S,T)$ whose objects are triples $(W', \pi' : W' \to S, s' : T \to W')$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) W' is affine;
(ii)π' is an étale morphism;
(iii) $\pi' \circ s'$ coincides with the inclusion $T \hookrightarrow S$ (thus s is a closed embedding);
(iv) the schemes $(\pi')^{-1}(T)$ and $s'(T)$ coincide.

A morphism (π', s') to (π'', s'') in this category is a morphism $\rho : W' \to W''$ such that $\pi'' \circ \rho = \pi'$. It is not hard to see that for such a morphism we have $\rho \circ s' = s''$.

The category $\mathrm{Neib}(S, T)$ is filtered. Consider the functor from $\mathrm{Neib}(S, T)$ to schemes over S, sending (W', π', s') to (W', π'); denote the projective limit of this functor by (T^h, π). Thus $\pi : T^h \to S$. Let $s = \varprojlim s'$ be the morphism from T to T^h. It is a morphism of S-schemes, thus $\pi \circ s$ coincides with the inclusion $T \hookrightarrow S$. Also, it is easy to see that s is a closed embedding. It follows from Proposition A.6 that $T^h = \mathrm{spec}(A_I^h)$.

Note two properties of henselization of affine pairs:
(i) Henselization commutes with restriction to closed subschemes. In more details, if $S' \subset S$ is a closed subscheme then $(T \cap S')^h = T^h \times_S S'$ and $s' : T \cap S' \to (T \cap S')^h$ coincides under this identification with

$$s \times_S \mathrm{Id}_{S'} : T \times_S S' \to T^h \times_S S'.$$

This follows from Corollary A.4.
(ii) If $T = \sqcup_i T_i$ is a disjoint union, then $T^h = \sqcup_i T_i^h$. This follows from Lemma A.5.

Specifically for our context, we take $S = \mathbb{A}^1_U$, $T = Y$. Then for each object $(W', \pi' : W' \to \mathbb{A}^1_U, s' : Y \to W')$ in $\mathrm{Neib}(\mathbb{A}^1_U, Y)$ there is an elementary distinguished square (see [Voe, Definition 2.1])

$$\begin{tikzcd} W' - s'(Y) \arrow[r, "j'"] \arrow[d] & W' \arrow[d, "in \circ \pi'"] \\ \mathbb{P}^1_U - Y \arrow[r] & \mathbb{P}^1_U, \end{tikzcd} \tag{1}$$

where $in : \mathbb{A}^1_U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_U$ is the inclusion. It is used here that Y is closed in $\mathbb{P}^1_U$.

Construction 4.2. The elementary distinguished square (1) can be used like a Zariski cover to construct principal $\mathbf{G}$-bundles over $\mathbb{P}^1_U$, cf. [CTO, Prop. 2.6]. A partial case of this procedure is this: given a principal $\mathbf{G}$-bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y$, the trivial principal $\mathbf{G}$-bundle $\mathbf{G} \times_U W'$ over W' and an isomorphism of principal $\mathbf{G}$-bundles

$$\varphi : \mathbf{G} \times_U (W' - s'(Y)) \to \mathcal{E}|_{W' - s'(Y)},$$

we get a principal $\mathbf{G}$-bundle $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ over $\mathbb{P}^1_U$. Clearly, the $\mathbf{G}$-bundle $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y}, \varphi)$ has two nice properties:
(a) its restriction to $\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y$ coincides with the $\mathbf{G}$-bundle $\mathcal{E}$,
(b) its pull-back to W' is trivial and even trivialized.

Vice versa, assume that $\mathcal{E}$ is a principal $\mathbf{G}$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1_U$ such that there is a principal $\mathbf{G}$-bundle isomorphism $\psi : \mathbf{G} \times_U W' \to (in \circ \pi')^* \mathcal{E}$, then set $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y}$ and consider the isomorphism

$$\psi|_{W' - s'(Y)} := (j')^* \psi : \mathbf{G} \times_U (W' - s'(Y)) \to (j')^* (in \circ \pi')^* \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}'|_{W' - s'(Y)},$$

where j' is from diagram (1).

Then there is a unique $\mathbf{G}$-bundle isomorphism $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{E}', \psi|_{W' - s'(Y)}) \to \mathcal{E}$ over $\mathbb{P}^1_U$ such that its restriction to $\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y$ is identity.

The proofs of the following three lemmas are straightforward.

Lemma 4.3. *Let* $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ *be a* $\mathbf{G}$*-bundle as above and let* $\rho : W'' \to W'$ *be a morphism in* $\mathrm{Neib}(\mathbb{A}^1_U, Y)$*, then* $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{E}, \rho^* \varphi)$ *and* $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ *are naturally isomorphic as* $\mathbf{G}$*-bundles.*

Let $i : \mathbf{u} \to U$ be the closed embedding, then the base change by means of i of the elementary distinguished square (1) is an elementary distinguished square

$$\begin{tikzcd} W'_{\mathbf{u}} - s'_{\mathbf{u}}(Y_{\mathbf{u}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & W'_{\mathbf{u}} \arrow[d] \\ \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}} \arrow[r] & \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}}, \end{tikzcd}$$

where $Y_{\mathbf{u}} = Y \times_U \mathbf{u}$, $W'_{\mathbf{u}} = W' \times_U \mathbf{u}$ and $s'_{\mathbf{u}} : Y_{\mathbf{u}} \to W'_{\mathbf{u}}$ is the restriction of s to $Y_{\mathbf{u}}$. Thus for a $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$-bundle $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{u}}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}$ and an isomorphism

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{u}} : \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}} \times_{\mathbf{u}} (W'_{\mathbf{u}} - s'_{\mathbf{u}}(Y_{\mathbf{u}})) \to \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{u}}|_{(W'_{\mathbf{u}} - s'_{\mathbf{u}}(Y_{\mathbf{u}}))},$$

we get a principal $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$-bundle $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{u}}, \varphi_{\mathbf{u}})$ over $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}}$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let* $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ *be a* $\mathbf{G}$*-bundle obtained by Construction 4.2. Then*

$$\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}}, \varphi|_{W'_{\mathbf{u}} - s'_{\mathbf{u}}(Y_{\mathbf{u}})}) \text{ and } \mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{E}, \varphi)|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}}}$$

are isomorphic as $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$*-bundles over* $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}}$.

Lemma 4.5. *For any principal* $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$*-bundle* $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{u}}$ *over* $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}$*, an isomorphism of* $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$*-bundles* $\varphi_{\mathbf{u}} : \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}} \times_{\mathbf{u}} (W'_{\mathbf{u}} - s'_{\mathbf{u}}(Y_{\mathbf{u}})) \to \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{u}}|_{(W'_{\mathbf{u}} - s'_{\mathbf{u}}(Y_{\mathbf{u}}))}$ *and any* $\beta_{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(W'_{\mathbf{u}})$ *the* $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$*-bundles* $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{u}}, \varphi_{\mathbf{u}})$ *and* $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{u}}, \varphi_{\mathbf{u}} \circ \beta_{\mathbf{u}})$ *are isomorphic (here* $\beta_{\mathbf{u}}$ *is regarded as an automorphism of the* $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$*-bundle* $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}} \times_{\mathbf{u}} (W'_{\mathbf{u}} - s'_{\mathbf{u}}(Y_{\mathbf{u}}))$ *given by the right translation by* $\beta_{\mathbf{u}}$*).*

Construction 4.6. Consider the pair $(\mathbb{A}^1_U, Y)$ and its henselization Y^h. Recall that we have a closed embedding $s : Y \to Y^h$, set $\dot{Y}^h = Y^h - s(Y)$. Note that $\dot{Y}^h$ is the limit over $\mathrm{Neib}(\mathbb{A}^1_U, Y)$ of $W' - s'(Y)$. The main cartesian square we will work with is

(2)
$$\begin{tikzcd} \dot{Y}^h \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[d] & Y^h \arrow[d, "in \circ \pi"] \\ \mathbb{P}^1_U - Y \arrow[r] & \mathbb{P}^1_U, \end{tikzcd}$$

where π is described above. It is not hard to see that $\dot{Y}^h$ is an affine scheme. Now Lemma 4.3 shows that *Construction* 4.2 *works equally well once we replace square* (1) *with square* (2). In particular, if $\mathcal{E}$ is a principal $\mathbf{G}$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1_U$ such that its restriction to Y^h is trivial, then $\mathcal{E}$ can be represented as $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{E}', \psi)$, where $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y}$, $\psi : \mathbf{G} \times_U \dot{Y}^h \to \mathcal{E}'|_{\dot{Y}^h}$ is an isomorphism.

4.5. Proof of Theorem 2: presentation of $\mathcal{G}$ in the form $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{G}', \varphi)$.

Proposition 4.7. *The* $\mathbf{G}$*-bundle* $\mathcal{G}$ *on* $\mathbb{P}^1_U$ *is of the form* $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{G}', \varphi)$ *for square (2) and for the* $\mathbf{G}$*-bundle* $\mathcal{G}' := \mathcal{G}|_{(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y)}$ *and a* $\mathbf{G}$*-bundle isomorphism*

$$\varphi : \mathbf{G} \times_U \dot{Y}^h \to \mathcal{G}'|_{\dot{Y}^h}$$

over $\dot{Y}^h$.

Proof. Firstly, observe that the restriction of the principal $\mathbf{G}$-bundle $\mathcal{G}$ to Y^h is trivial. Indeed, we have chosen Y such that $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^1_U - Z = \mathbb{P}^1_U - \{Z \cup \infty_U\}$. Enlarging Z if necessary, we can ensure that $\mathbb{A}^1_U - Z$ is affine (and we still have $Z \cap Y = \varnothing$). Since $\mathbb{A}^1_U - Z$ is an affine neighborhood of Y, the henselization of the pair $(\mathbb{A}^1_U - Z, Y)$ coincides with the henselization of the pair $(\mathbb{A}^1_U, Y)$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is trivial over $\mathbb{A}^1_U - Z$, its pull-back to Y^h is trivial too. It remains to use Construction 4.6. The proposition is proven. $\square$

Our aim is to modify the gluing isomorphism φ via an element

$$\alpha \in \mathbf{G}(\dot{Y}^h)$$

so that the $\mathbf{G}$*-bundle* $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{G}', \varphi \circ \alpha)$ *becomes trivial over* $\mathbb{P}^1_U$.

Let $Y^h_{\mathbf{u}}$ be the henselization of $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbf{u}}$ at $Y_{\mathbf{u}}$. Using property (i) of henselization, we get $Y^h_{\mathbf{u}} = Y^h \times_U \mathbf{u}$. Thus we have a natural closed embedding $Y^h_{\mathbf{u}} \to Y^h$. Let $s_{\mathbf{u}} : Y_{\mathbf{u}} \to Y^h_{\mathbf{u}}$ be the canonical closed embedding, set $\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}} = Y^h_{\mathbf{u}} - s_{\mathbf{u}}(Y_{\mathbf{u}})$. We get a closed embedding $\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}} \to \dot{Y}^h$.

The following property of square (2) follows from property (i) of henselization: the pull-back of the cartesian square (2) by means of the closed embedding $\mathbf{u} \hookrightarrow U$ has the form

(3)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}} \arrow[r, "j_{\mathbf{u}}"] \arrow[d] & Y^h_{\mathbf{u}} \arrow[d, "(in\circ\pi)_{\mathbf{u}}"] \\
\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}} \arrow[r] & \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{u}}$ be a principal $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}$ and

$$\psi_{\mathbf{u}} : \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}} \times_{\mathbf{u}} \dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}} \to \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{u}}|_{\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}}}$$

be an isomorphism of principal $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$-bundles. Then, similarly to Construction 4.6 we can use diagram (3) to construct a $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$-bundle $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{u}}, \psi_{\mathbf{u}})$ on $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}}$.

We need two lemmas analogous to Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5. Their proofs are straightforward.

Lemma 4.8. *Let* $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{E}, \psi)$ *be a* $\mathbf{G}$*-bundle obtained by Construction 4.6. Then*

$$\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}}, \psi|_{\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}}}) \text{ and } \mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{E}, \psi)|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}}}$$

are isomorphic as $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$*-bundles over* $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}}$.

Lemma 4.9. *For any principal* $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$*-bundle* $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{u}}$ *over* $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}$*, an isomorphism of* $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$*-bundles* $\psi_{\mathbf{u}} : \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}} \times_{\mathbf{u}} \dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}} \to \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{u}}|_{\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}}}$ *and any* $\beta_{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(Y^h_{\mathbf{u}})$ *the* $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$*-bundles*

$$\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{u}}, \psi_{\mathbf{u}}) \text{ and } \mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y, \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{u}}, \psi_{\mathbf{u}} \circ \beta_{\mathbf{u}})$$

are isomorphic (here $\beta_{\mathbf{u}}$ *is regarded as an automorphism of the* $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$*-bundle* $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}} \times_{\mathbf{u}} \dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}}$ *given by the right translation by* $\beta_{\mathbf{u}}$*).*

4.6. **Proof of Theorem 2: proof of property (i) from the outline.** Now we are able to prove property (i) from the outline of the proof. In fact, we will prove the following

Lemma 4.10. *Let* $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{G}', \varphi)$ *be the presentation of the* $\mathbf{G}$*-bundle* $\mathcal{G}$ *over* $\mathbb{P}^1_U$ *given in Proposition 4.7. Set* $\varphi_{\mathbf{u}} := \varphi|_{\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}}}$*. Then there is* $\gamma_{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}})$ *such that the* $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$*-bundle* $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathcal{G}'|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}}, \varphi_{\mathbf{u}} \circ \gamma_{\mathbf{u}})$ *is trivial on* $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}}$.

Proof. One has $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} = (\coprod_{u_i\in\mathbf{u}'}\mathbb{P}^1_{u_i})\coprod(\coprod_{u_j\in\mathbf{u}''}\mathbb{P}^1_{u_j})$. For $u_j \in \mathbf{u}''$ the algebraic $k(u_j)$-group $\mathbf{G}_{u_j}$ is anisotropic. Since $\mathcal{G}_{u_j}$ is trivial over an open subset of $\mathbb{P}^1_{u_j}$, the exact sequence from [Gil1, Corollary 3.10(a)] shows that $\mathcal{G}_{u_j}$ is locally trivial in Zariski topology. Now the second part of [Gil1, Corollary 3.10(a)] shows that $\mathcal{G}_{u_j}$ is trivial.

We will now use notation from Subsection 4.3. For $u_i \in \mathbf{u}'$ write Y_{u_i} for $Y \times_U u_i$. The point $p_i \in Y_i \subset Y$ lies in Y_{u_i} and it is $k(u_i)$-rational by the choice of p_i in Subsection 4.3. Thus we can write $Y_{u_i} = p_i \sqcup T_i$. Thus $\mathbb{P}^1_{u_i} - Y_{u_i} \cong \mathbb{A}^1_{u_i} - T_i$. The $\mathbf{G}_{u_i}$-bundle $\mathcal{G}_{u_i}$ is trivial over $\mathbb{A}^1_{u_i} - Z_{u_i}$. Thus, again by [Gil1, Corollary 3.10], it is trivial over $\mathbb{A}^1_{u_i}$. Whence it is trivial over $\mathbb{P}^1_{u_i} - Y_{u_i}$. Trivializing $\mathcal{G}_{u_i}$ over $\mathbb{P}^1_{u_i} - Y_{u_i}$, we may identify φ_{u_i} with an element of $\mathbf{G}_{u_i}(\dot{Y}^h_{u_i})$. Set $\gamma_{u_i} = (\varphi_{u_i})^{-1}$. Eventually take

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{u}} = (\gamma_{u_1}, \dots, \gamma_{u_n}) \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}}).$$

The $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$-bundle $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathcal{G}'|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}}-Y_{\mathbf{u}}}, \varphi_{\mathbf{u}} \circ \gamma_{\mathbf{u}})$ is trivial on $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}}$ by the very choice of $\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}$. □

4.7. **Proof of Theorem 2: reduction to property (ii) from the outline.** The aim of this subsection is to deduce Theorem 2 from the following

Proposition 4.11. *Each element $\gamma_{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}})$ can be written in the form*

$$\alpha|_{\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}}} \cdot \beta|_{\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}}}$$

for certain elements $\alpha \in \mathbf{G}(\dot{Y}^h)$ and $\beta \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(Y^h_{\mathbf{u}})$.

Deduction of Theorem 2 from Proposition 4.11. Let $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{G}', \varphi)$ be the description of the $\mathbf{G}$-bundle $\mathcal{G}$ from Proposition 4.7. Let $\gamma_{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}})$ be the element from Lemma 4.10. Let $\alpha \in \mathbf{G}(\dot{Y}^h)$ and $\beta \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(Y^h_{\mathbf{u}})$ be the elements from Proposition 4.11. Set

$$\mathcal{G}^{new} = \mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{G}', \varphi \circ \alpha).$$

Claim. The $\mathbf{G}$-bundle $\mathcal{G}^{new}$ is trivial over $\mathbb{P}^1_U$.
Indeed, by Lemmas 4.8 and 4.9 one has a chain of isomorphisms of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}$-bundles

$$\mathcal{G}^{new}|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}}} \cong \mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathcal{G}'|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}}-Y_{\mathbf{u}}}, \varphi_{\mathbf{u}} \circ \alpha|_{\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}}}) \cong$$
$$\mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathcal{G}'|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}}-Y_{\mathbf{u}}}, \varphi_{\mathbf{u}} \circ \alpha|_{\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}}} \circ \beta|_{\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}}}) = \mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} - Y_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathcal{G}'|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}}-Y_{\mathbf{u}}}, \varphi_{\mathbf{u}} \circ \gamma_{\mathbf{u}}),$$

which is trivial by the choice of $\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}$. By Proposition 4.1, applied to $T = Z \cup Y$, the $\mathbf{G}$-bundle $\mathcal{G}^{new}$ is trivial. Whence the claim.

The $\mathbf{G}$-bundles $\mathcal{G}|_{\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{new}|_{\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y}$ coincide by the very construction of $\mathcal{G}^{new}$. The claim above implies that the $\mathbf{G}$-bundle $\mathcal{G}|_{\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y}$ is trivial. Theorem 2 is proven. □

4.8. **Proof of Theorem 2: proof of property (ii) from the outline.** *In the remaining part of Section 4 we will prove Proposition 4.11. This will complete the proof of Theorem 2.*

Let $inc_i : Y_i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_i$ be the closed embedding from Subsection 4.3. It defines a parabolic group subscheme $\mathbf{P}_i$ in $\mathbf{G}_i := \mathbf{G} \times_U Y_i$ of the same type as the parabolic group subscheme $\mathbf{P}_{u_i}$ in $\mathbf{G}_{u_i}$, see Subsection 4.3. Since Y_i is an affine scheme, by [DG, Exp. XXVI Cor. 2.3, Th 4.3.2] there is an opposite to $\mathbf{P}_i$ parabolic group subscheme $\mathbf{P}^-_i$ in $\mathbf{G}_i$. Let $\mathbf{U}^+_i$ be the unipotent radical of $\mathbf{P}_i$ and let $\mathbf{U}^-_i$ be the unipotent radical of $\mathbf{P}^-_i$.

Definition 4.12. We will write $\mathbf{E}_i$ for the functor, sending a Y_i-scheme T to the subgroup $\mathbf{E}_i(T)$ of the group $\mathbf{G}_i(T) = \mathbf{G}(T)$ generated by the subgroups $\mathbf{U}_i^+(T)$ and $\mathbf{U}_i^-(T)$ of the group $\mathbf{G}_i(T) = \mathbf{G}(T)$.

Remark 4.13. This functor has the property that given a closed subscheme S in an affine Y_i-scheme T the induced map $\mathbf{E}_i(T) \to \mathbf{E}_i(S)$ is surjective, since the restriction maps $\mathbf{U}_i^{\pm}(T) \to \mathbf{U}_i^{\pm}(S)$ are.

Let $Y_{i,\mathbf{u}} = Y_i \times_U \mathbf{u}$, $\mathcal{P}_{i,\mathbf{u}} = \mathcal{P}_i \times_U \mathbf{u}$ and $inc_{i,\mathbf{u}} : Y_{i,\mathbf{u}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{i,\mathbf{u}}$ be the closed embedding. It defines a parabolic group subscheme $\mathbf{P}_{i,\mathbf{u}}$ in $\mathbf{G}_{i,\mathbf{u}} := \mathbf{G}_i \times_{Y_i} Y_{i,\mathbf{u}}$ coinciding with $\mathbf{P}_i \times_{Y_i} Y_{i,\mathbf{u}}$. The parabolic group subscheme $\mathbf{P}_i^- \times_{Y_i} Y_{i,\mathbf{u}}$ is an opposite parabolic group subscheme to $\mathbf{P}_i \times_{Y_i} Y_{i,\mathbf{u}}$. We will write $\mathbf{P}_{i,\mathbf{u}}^-$ for $\mathbf{P}_i^- \times_{Y_i} Y_{i,\mathbf{u}}$. Let $\mathbf{U}_{i,\mathbf{u}}^+$ be the unipotent radical of $\mathbf{P}_{i,\mathbf{u}}$ and let $\mathbf{U}_{i,\mathbf{u}}^-$ be the unipotent radical of $\mathbf{P}_{i,\mathbf{u}}^-$.

Definition 4.14. We will write $\mathbf{E}_{i,\mathbf{u}}$ for the functor, sending a $Y_{i,\mathbf{u}}$-scheme T to the subgroup $\mathbf{E}_{i,\mathbf{u}}(T)$ of the group $\mathbf{G}_{i,\mathbf{u}}(T) = \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(T)$ generated by the subgroups $\mathbf{U}_{i,\mathbf{u}}^+(T)$ and $\mathbf{U}_{i,\mathbf{u}}^-(T)$ of the group $\mathbf{G}_{i,\mathbf{u}}(T) = \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(T)$.

Remark 4.15. This functor has the property that given a closed subscheme S in an affine $Y_{i,\mathbf{u}}$-scheme T the induced map $\mathbf{E}_{i,\mathbf{u}}(T) \to \mathbf{E}_{i,\mathbf{u}}(S)$ is surjective.

Let $u_l \in \mathbf{u}$ be a point. Let $Y_{i,u_l} = Y_i \times_U u_l$, $\mathcal{P}_{i,u_l} = \mathcal{P}_i \times_U u_l$ and $inc_{i,u_l} : Y_{i,u_l} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{i,u_l}$ be the closed embedding. It defines a parabolic group subscheme $\mathbf{P}_{i,u_l}$ in $\mathbf{G}_{i,u_l} := \mathbf{G}_i \times_{Y_i} Y_{i,u_l}$ coinciding with $\mathbf{P}_i \times_{Y_i} Y_{i,u_l}$. The parabolic group subscheme $\mathbf{P}_i^- \times_{Y_i} Y_{i,u_l}$ is an opposite parabolic group subscheme to $\mathbf{P}_i \times_{Y_i} Y_{i,u_l}$. We will write $\mathbf{P}_{i,u_l}^-$ for $\mathbf{P}_i^- \times_{Y_i} Y_{i,u_l}$. Let $\mathbf{U}_{i,u_l}^+$ be the unipotent radical of $\mathbf{P}_{i,u_l}$ and let $\mathbf{U}_{i,u_l}^-$ be the unipotent radical of $\mathbf{P}_{i,u_l}^-$.

Definition 4.16. We will write $\mathbf{E}_{i,u_l}$ for the functor, sending a Y_{i,u_l}-scheme T to the subgroup $\mathbf{E}_{i,u_l}(T)$ of the group $\mathbf{G}_{i,u_l}(T) = \mathbf{G}_{u_l}(T)$ generated by the subgroups $\mathbf{U}_{i,u_l}^+(T)$ and $\mathbf{U}_{i,u_l}^-(T)$ of the group $\mathbf{G}_{i,u_l}(T) = \mathbf{G}_{u_l}(T)$.

Remark 4.17. This functor has the property that given a closed subscheme S in an affine Y_{i,u_l}-scheme T the induced map $\mathbf{E}_{i,u_l}(T) \to \mathbf{E}_{i,u_l}(S)$ is surjective.

Let $u_l \in \mathbf{u}$ be a point and $y \in Y_{i,u_l}$ be a point. Let $\mathcal{P}_{i,y} = \mathcal{P}_i \times_U y$ and $inc_{i,y} : y \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{i,y}$ be the closed embedding. It defines a parabolic group subscheme $\mathbf{P}_{i,y}$ in $\mathbf{G}_{i,y} := \mathbf{G}_i \times_{Y_i} y = \mathbf{G} \times_U y =: \mathbf{G}_y$ coinciding with $\mathbf{P}_i \times_{Y_i} y$. The parabolic group subscheme $\mathbf{P}_i^- \times_{Y_i} y$ is an opposite parabolic group subscheme to $\mathbf{P}_i \times_{Y_i} y$. We will write $\mathbf{P}_{i,y}^-$ for $\mathbf{P}_i^- \times_{Y_i} y$. Let $\mathbf{U}_{i,y}^+$ be the unipotent radical of $\mathbf{P}_{i,y}$ and let $\mathbf{U}_{i,y}^-$ be the unipotent radical of $\mathbf{P}_{i,y}^-$.

Definition 4.18. We will write $\mathbf{E}_{i,y}$ for the functor, sending a y-scheme T to the subgroup $\mathbf{E}_{i,y}(T)$ of the group $\mathbf{G}_{i,y}(T) = \mathbf{G}_y(T)$ generated by the subgroups $\mathbf{U}_{i,y}^+(T)$ and $\mathbf{U}_{i,y}^-(T)$ of the group $\mathbf{G}_{i,y}(T) = \mathbf{G}_y(T)$.

Remark 4.19. This functor has the property that given a closed subscheme S in an affine y-scheme T the induced map $\mathbf{E}_{i,y}(T) \to \mathbf{E}_{i,y}(S)$ is surjective.

Lemma 4.20. *There is a morphism* $r : Y^h \to Y$ *making the following diagram commutative*

$$\begin{tikzcd} Y^h \arrow[r, "r"] \arrow[d, "in\circ\pi"'] & Y \arrow[d, "pr|_Y"] \\ \mathbb{P}^1_U \arrow[r, "pr"] & U \end{tikzcd} \tag{4}$$

and such that $r \circ s = id_Y$*, where* $s : Y \to Y^h$ *is defined in Construction 4.6.*

Proof. Note that since Y is étale over U, the diagonal $\Delta(Y)$ is a connected component of $Y \times_U Y$. Set $W' := \mathbb{A}^1_Y - (Y \times_U Y - \Delta(Y))$. It is easy to see that W' is affine. Set

$$\pi' := id \times (pr|_Y) : \mathbb{A}^1_Y - (Y \times_U Y - \Delta(Y)) \to \mathbb{A}^1_U$$

and

$$s' := \Delta : Y \to \mathbb{A}^1_Y - (Y \times_U Y - \Delta(Y)).$$

The morphism π' is étale, since $pr|_Y : Y \to U$ is étale. Thus the triple (W', π', s') is an object in $\mathrm{Neib}(\mathbb{A}^1_U, Y)$. Thus there is a canonical morphism $can : Y^h \to \mathbb{A}^1_Y - (Y \times_U Y - \Delta(Y))$ such that $(id \times (pr|_Y)) \circ can = \pi$. Set

$$r := pr_Y \circ can : Y^h \to Y.$$

With this r the diagram (4) commutes and $r \circ s = id_Y$. $\square$

Since $Y = \coprod_{u_i \in \mathbf{u}'} Y_i$, by property (ii) of henselization one has an equality

$$Y^h = \coprod_{u_i \in \mathbf{u}'} Y^h_i. \tag{5}$$

Using the base change of the diagram (4) by means of the closed embedding $i : \mathbf{u} \hookrightarrow U$ and property (i) of henselization (cf. diagram (3)), we get a commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd} Y^h_{\mathbf{u}} \arrow[r, "r_{\mathbf{u}}"] \arrow[d, "(in\circ\pi)_{\mathbf{u}}"'] & Y_{\mathbf{u}} \arrow[d, "pr_{\mathbf{u}}|_{Y_{\mathbf{u}}}"] \\ \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{u}} \arrow[r, "pr_{\mathbf{u}}"] & \mathbf{u} \end{tikzcd} \tag{6}$$

and such that $r_{\mathbf{u}} \circ s_{\mathbf{u}} = id_{Y_{\mathbf{u}}}$. We will consider below $Y^h_{\mathbf{u}}$ and $\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}}$ as $Y_{\mathbf{u}}$-schemes using morphisms $r_{\mathbf{u}}$ and $r_{\mathbf{u}} \circ j_{\mathbf{u}}$ respectively, where $j_{\mathbf{u}}$ is defined in diagram (3).

Since $Y_{\mathbf{u}} = \coprod_{u_i \in \mathbf{u}'} Y_{i,\mathbf{u}}$, by property (ii) of henselization one has an equality

$$Y^h_{\mathbf{u}} = \coprod_{u_i \in \mathbf{u}'} Y^h_{i,\mathbf{u}}. \tag{7}$$

Let $s_{i,\mathbf{u}}$ be the canonical embedding $Y_{i,\mathbf{u}} \to Y^h_{i,\mathbf{u}}$. Set $\dot{Y}^h_{i,\mathbf{u}} = Y^h_{i,\mathbf{u}} - s_{i,\mathbf{u}}(Y_{i,\mathbf{u}})$.

Let $u_l \in \mathbf{u}$ be a point. Let Y^h_{i,u_l} be the henselization of $\mathbb{A}^1_{u_l}$ at Y_{i,u_l}. Let $s_{i,u_l} : Y_{i,u_l} \to Y^h_{i,u_l}$ be the canonical embedding. Using the base change of the diagram (6) by means of the closed embedding $u_l \hookrightarrow \mathbf{u}$, we get a commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd} Y^h_{i,u_l} \arrow[r, "r_{i,u_l}"] \arrow[d, "(in\circ\pi)_{u_l}"'] & Y_{i,u_l} \arrow[d, "pr_{u_l}|_{Y_{i,u_l}}"] \\ \mathbb{P}^1_{u_l} \arrow[r, "pr_{u_l}"] & u_l \end{tikzcd}$$

and such that $r_{i,u_l} \circ s_{i,u_l} = id_{Y_{i,u_l}}$. Set $\dot{Y}^h_{i,u_l} = Y^h_{i,u_l} - s_{i,u_l}(Y_{i,u_l})$.

We will consider below Y^h_{i,u_l} and $\dot{Y}^h_{i,u_l}$ as Y_{i,u_l}-schemes using morphisms r_{i,u_l} and $r_{i,u_l} \circ j_{i,u_l}$ respectively, where $j_{u,l} : \dot{Y}_{i,u_l} \to Y_{i,u_l}$ is the obvious morphism. Using the equality $Y^h_{i,u_l} = \coprod_{y \in Y_{i,u_l}} y^h$, where y^h is the henselization of $\mathbb{A}^1_{u_l}$ at y, we get a commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\coprod_{y \in Y_{i,u_l}} y^h \arrow[r, "\coprod r_y"] \arrow[d, "id"'] & \coprod_{y \in Y_{i,u_l}} y \arrow[d, "id"] \\
Y^h_{i,u_l} \arrow[r, "r_{i,u_l}"] \arrow[d, "(in \circ \pi)_{u_l}"'] & Y_{i,u_l} \arrow[d, "pr_{u_l}|_{Y_{i,u_l}}"] \\
\mathbb{P}^1_{u_l} \arrow[r, "pr_{u_l}"] & u_l
\end{tikzcd}$$

and such that $r_y \circ s_y = id_y$. We will consider below y^h and $\dot{y}^h := y^h - s_y(y)$ as y-schemes using morphisms r_y and $r_y \circ j_y$ respectively, where $j_y : \dot{y}^h \to y^h$.

Lemma 4.21. $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(\dot{Y}^h_{i,\mathbf{u}}) = \mathbf{E}_{i,\mathbf{u}}(\dot{Y}^h_{i,\mathbf{u}})\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(Y^h_{i,\mathbf{u}})$.

Proof. Firstly, one has $Y^h_{i,\mathbf{u}} = \coprod_{u_l \in \mathbf{u}} \coprod_{y \in Y_{i,u_l}} y^h$. Thus one has

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(\dot{Y}^h_{i,\mathbf{u}}) = \prod_{u_l \in \mathbf{u}} \prod_{y \in Y_{i,u_l}} \mathbf{G}_y(\dot{y}^h),$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{i,\mathbf{u}}(\dot{Y}^h_{i,\mathbf{u}}) = \prod_{u_l \in \mathbf{u}} \prod_{y \in Y_{i,u_l}} \mathbf{E}_{i,y}(\dot{y}^h),$$

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(Y^h_{i,\mathbf{u}}) = \prod_{u_l \in \mathbf{u}} \prod_{y \in Y_{i,u_l}} \mathbf{G}_y(y^h).$$

Thus it suffices for each $u_l \in \mathbf{u}$ and each $y \in Y_{i,u_l}$ to check the equality

$$\mathbf{G}_y(\dot{y}^h) = \mathbf{E}_{i,y}(\dot{y}^h)\mathbf{G}_y(y^h).$$

This equality holds by Fait 4.3 and Lemma 4.5 of [Gil2]. In fact, $y^h = \operatorname{spec} \mathcal{O}$, where $\mathcal{O} = k(u_l)[t]^h_{\mathfrak{m}_y}$ is a henselian discrete valuation ring, and $\mathfrak{m}_y \subset k(u_l)[t]$ is the maximal ideal defining the point $y \in \mathbb{A}^1_{u_l}$. Further, $\dot{y}^h = \operatorname{spec} L$, where L is the fraction field of $\mathcal{O}$. The lemma is proven. $\square$

Remark 4.22. If T is an affine $Y_{i,\mathbf{u}}$-scheme we may regard it as an affine Y_i-scheme using the closed embedding $Y_{i,\mathbf{u}} \hookrightarrow Y_i$. In this case one has an equality

$$\mathbf{E}_i(T) = \mathbf{E}_{i,\mathbf{u}}(T)$$

of subgroups in $\mathbf{G}(T) = \mathbf{G}_i(T) = \mathbf{G}_{i,\mathbf{u}}(T) = \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(T)$. This follows from the equalities

$$\mathbf{U}^+_i(T) = \mathbf{U}^+_{i,\mathbf{u}}(T), \quad \mathbf{U}^-_i(T) = \mathbf{U}^-_{i,\mathbf{u}}(T).$$

This remark and Remark 4.13 imply the following

Lemma 4.23. *Let T be an affine Y_i-scheme and $T_{\mathbf{u}} := T \times_U \mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{G}(T) \to \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(T_{\mathbf{u}})$ be the map induced by the closed embedding $T_{\mathbf{u}} \hookrightarrow T$. Then the image of $\mathbf{E}_i(T)$ under this map coincides with the subgroup $\mathbf{E}_{i,\mathbf{u}}(T_{\mathbf{u}})$.*

Proof of Proposition 4.11. Set $\dot{Y}_i^h = Y_i^h - s_i(Y_i)$, where $s_i : Y_i \to Y_i^h$ is the canonical embedding. The equalities (5) and (7) show that one has a closed embedding

$$I_0 = \coprod_{u_i \in \mathbf{u}'} I_{0,i} : \coprod_{u_i \in \mathbf{u}'} \dot{Y}^h_{i,\mathbf{u}} \hookrightarrow \coprod_{u_i \in \mathbf{u}'} \dot{Y}^h_i .$$

The embeddings I_0 and $j_{\mathbf{u}}$ and the equality (7) induce the following diagram of group homomorphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(\dot{Y}^h_{\mathbf{u}}) = \prod_{u_i \in \mathbf{u}'} \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(\dot{Y}^h_{i,\mathbf{u}}) & \prod_{u_i \in \mathbf{u}'} \mathbf{G}(\dot{Y}^h_i) = \mathbf{G}(\dot{Y}^h) \arrow[l, "\prod I^*_{i,0} = I^*_0"'] \\
\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(Y^h_{\mathbf{u}}) = \prod_{u_i \in \mathbf{u}'} \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{u}}(Y^h_{i,\mathbf{u}}). \arrow[u, "j^*_{\mathbf{u}} = \prod j^*_{i,\mathbf{u}}"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Lemma 4.21 shows that to prove Proposition 4.11 it remains to check that for the closed embedding $I_{0,i}$ the group homomorphism

$$I^*_{0,i} : \mathbf{G}(\dot{Y}^h_i) \to \mathbf{G}(\dot{Y}^h_{i,\mathbf{u}})$$

has the property

$$I^*_{0,i}(\mathbf{E}_i(\dot{Y}^h_i)) = \mathbf{E}_{i,\mathbf{u}}(\dot{Y}^h_{i,\mathbf{u}}).$$

The latter follows from Lemma 4.23 and the fact that the scheme $\dot{Y}^h_i$ is affine. $\square$

5. Formal loops and affine Grassmannians

Here we sketch another proof of Theorem 2 using formal loops rather than henselization. We start with the following diagram, analogous to the square (2):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{spec} k((t)) \times Y \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{spec} k[[t]] \times Y \arrow[d] \\
\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y \arrow[r] & \mathbb{P}^1_U .
\end{tikzcd} \tag{8}$$

(Here × is the product in the category of k-schemes.) Naively, the right vertical morphism is thought as taking (ε, y) to $y + \varepsilon$. Formally, it is defined as the composition

$$\operatorname{spec} k[[t]] \times Y \to \mathbb{A}^1_Y \to \mathbb{A}^1_U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_U .$$

Here the first morphism is induced by the inclusion $k[\mathbb{A}^1_k] = k[t] \to k[[t]]$. The second morphism is the restriction of the group-scheme multiplication morphism $\mathbb{A}^1_U \times_U \mathbb{A}^1_U \to \mathbb{A}^1_U$ to $\mathbb{A}^1_Y = \mathbb{A}^1_U \times_U Y$.

It is easy to see that the morphisms in (8) are flat. Since principal bundles can be glued in fpqc topology (faithfully flat and quasi-compact), the square can be used to construct $\mathbf{G}$-bundles. In particular, let $\mathcal{E}$ be a $\mathbf{G}$-bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y$, and let

$$\varphi : \mathbf{G} \times_U (\operatorname{spec} k((t)) \times Y) \to \mathcal{E}|_{\operatorname{spec} k((t)) \times Y},$$

be an isomorphism of $\mathbf{G}$-bundles. Then we construct a principal $\mathbf{G}$-bundle

$$\mathrm{Gl}'(\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y, \mathcal{E}, \varphi)$$

over $\mathbb{P}^1_U$.

One can prove Theorem 2, using the above construction instead of Construction 4.6. Instead of Fait 4.3 and Lemma 4.5 of [Gil2], one should use Propositions 7.5 and 7.7 of [PSV1].

5.1. **Relation to affine Grassmannians.**

Here we give a hint on how Theorem 2 was initially proved. We assume for simplicity that k is the field of complex numbers and R is local. Assume also that the Dynkin diagram of $\mathbf{G}$ has no non-trivial automorphisms. Let $\mathcal{G}$ and Z be as in Theorem 2 and choose a trivialization of $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1_U - Z$. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^1_U$ be a closed subscheme étale over U such that $Y \cap Z = \varnothing$. By a *modification of* $\mathcal{G}$ *at* Y we mean a $\mathbf{G}$-bundle $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1_U$ together with an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}|_{\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{G}|_{\mathbb{P}^1_U - Y}.$$

To prove the theorem means to choose Y and a modification of $\mathcal{G}$ at Y such that $\mathcal{F}$ is trivial.

Let us give a description of modifications in terms of affine Grassmannians. The fiber $G := \mathbf{G}_u$ at the closed point u of U is a simple complex group. Recall that for a smooth, not necessarily projective, complex curve X, the G-bundles on X with a trivialization off a point x are classified by the affine Grassmannian $Gr_G := G(k((t)))/G(k[[t]])$.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a G-bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1_k$ trivialized away from a point y. Then its modifications at a point $x \neq y$ are classified by Gr_G. Indeed, viewing a point of Gr_G as a G-bundle $\mathcal{E}'$ on $\mathbb{P}^1_k - y$ trivialized on $\mathbb{P}^1_k - \{x, y\}$, we construct $\mathcal{F}$ by gluing $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}'$ over $\mathbb{P}^1_k - \{x, y\}$.

One can also define a $\mathbf{G}$-twisted Grassmannian $Gr_{\mathbf{G}} \to U$ as follows. First of all, since $\mathbf{G}$ is coming from the base field k locally in étale topology, it corresponds to a class in $H^1_{et}(U, \operatorname{Aut} G)$, that is, to a right $\operatorname{Aut} G$-torsor $\mathcal{T}$. On the other hand, $\operatorname{Aut} G$ acts on Gr_G and we set

$$Gr_{\mathbf{G}} := \mathcal{T} \times_{\operatorname{Aut} G} Gr_G.$$

It is easy to see that the modifications of $\mathcal{E}$ at Y are classified by U-morphisms $Y \to Gr_{\mathbf{G}}$.

Further, recall that the orbits of $G(k[[t]])$ on Gr_G are numerated by dominant coweights λ of G (see for example [BF, §1.2 and §2.1]); denote such an orbit by Gr^λ_G. This induces a similar decomposition of the twisted Grassmannian; denote the corresponding subscheme by $Gr^\lambda_{\mathbf{G}} \subset Gr_{\mathbf{G}}$. Let $\succ$ be the usual order on the coweight lattice.

Initially, we proved the following strengthening of Theorem 2: *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2, there is a closed subscheme* $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^1_U$ *étale over* U *and a coweight* λ_0 *such that* $Y \cap Z = \varnothing$ *and for all regular coweights* $\lambda \succ \lambda_0$ *there is a modification* $\Phi : Y \to Gr^\lambda_{\mathbf{G}}$*, making the* $\mathbf{G}$*-bundle* $\mathcal{G}$ *trivial.*

Idea of the proof. For a regular coweight λ there is a map from Gr^λ_G to the variety of Borel subgroups in G. The fibers of this map are isomorphic to vector spaces. Similarly, we get a map $Gr^\lambda_{\mathbf{G}} \to \mathcal{B}$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is the scheme over U parameterizing Borel subgroup schemes in $\mathbf{G}$. Since its fibers are vector spaces, one can show that a U-morphism $Y \to \mathcal{B}$ can be lifted to a U-morphism $Y \to Gr^\lambda_G$. □

6. AN APPLICATION

The following result is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 1 and an exact sequence for étale cohomology.

Theorem 3. *Let* R *and* $\mathbf{G}$ *be as in Theorem 1 and let* $\mu : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{T}$ *be a group-scheme morphism to an* R*-torus* $\mathbf{T}$ *such that* μ *is locally in the étale topology on* $\operatorname{spec} R$

surjective. Assume further that the R-group scheme $\mathbf{H} := \operatorname{Ker}(\mu)$ is reductive with geometrically connected fibres. Let K be the fraction field of R. Then the group homomorphism

$$\mathbf{T}(R)/\mu(\mathbf{G}(R)) \to \mathbf{T}(K)/\mu(\mathbf{G}(K))$$

is injective.

This Theorem extends all the known results of this form proven in [CTO], [PS1], [Zai], [OPZ]. Theorem 3 has the following

Corollary. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3 let additionally the K-algebraic group $\mathbf{G}_K$ be K-rational as a K-variety and the ring R be of characteristic 0. Then the norm principle holds for all finite flat R-domains $S \supset R$. That is, if $S \supset R$ is such a domain, and $a \in \mathbf{T}(S)$ belongs to $\mu(\mathbf{G}(S))$, then the element $N_{S/R}(a) \in \mathbf{T}(R)$ belongs to $\mu(\mathbf{G}(R))$.*

Proof. Let L be the fraction field of S. Let $\alpha \in \mathbf{G}(S)$ be such that $\mu(\alpha) = a \in \mathbf{T}(S)$. Then $\mu(\alpha_L) = a_L \in \mathbf{T}(L)$, where α_L is the image of α in $\mathbf{G}(L)$, a_L is the image of a in $\mathbf{T}(L)$. The hypothesis on the algebraic K-group $\mathbf{G}_K$ implies that there exists an element $\beta \in \mathbf{G}(K)$ such that $\mu(\beta) = N_{L/K}(a_L) \in \mathbf{T}(K)$ (see [Mer]). Note that $N_{L/K}(a_L) = (N_{S/R}(a))_K \in \mathbf{T}(K)$. By Theorem 3 there exists an element $\gamma \in \mathbf{G}(R)$ such that $\mu(\gamma) = N_{S/R}(a) \in \mathbf{T}(R)$. Whence the Corollary. □

Appendix A. Properties of henselization

The following definition one can find in [Gab2, Section 0].

Definition A.1. If I is an ideal in a commutative ring A, then the pair (A, I) is called *henselian* if $I \subset \operatorname{Rad}(A)$ (the Jacobson radical of R) and for every two relatively prime monic polynomials $\bar{g}, \bar{h} \in \bar{A}[t]$ ($\bar{A} = A/I$) and monic lifting $f \in A[t]$ of $\bar{g}\bar{h}$, there exist monic liftings $g, h \in A[t]$ such that $f = gh$. (The polynomials are called relatively prime, if they generate the unit ideal.)

Lemma A.2. *Let (A, I) be a henselian pair with a semi-local ring A and $J \subset A$ be an ideal. Then the pair $(A/J, (I + J)/J)$ is henselian.*

Proof. Clearly $(J + I)/J \subset \operatorname{Rad}(A/J)$. Now let $\bar{g}, \bar{h} \in (A/(J + I))[t]$ be two relatively prime monic polynomials and let $f \in (A/J)[t]$ be a monic polynomial such that $f \bmod (J + I) = \bar{g}\bar{h} \in (A/(J + I))[t]$.

Let $\bar{G}, \bar{H} \in (A/I)[t]$ be monic liftings of $\bar{g}$ and $\bar{h}$ to $(A/I)[t]$. The ring homomorphism

$$A \to (A/I) \times_{(A/(J+I))} (A/J)$$

is surjective. Thus there exists a monic polynomial $F \in A[t]$ such that $F \bmod I = \bar{G}\bar{H}$ and $F \bmod J = f$.

Since A is semi-local, $\bar{G}, \bar{H} \in (A/I)[t]$ are relatively prime monic polynomials. The pair (A, I) is henselian. Thus there exist monic liftings $G, H \in A[t]$ of $\bar{G}, \bar{H}$ such that $F = GH$. Let $g = G \bmod J \in (A/J)[t]$ and $h = H \bmod J \in (A/J)[t]$. Clearly, g and h are monic polynomials in $(A/J)[t]$, $f = gh \in (A/J)[t]$. And finally, $g \bmod (J + I) = \bar{g}$, $h \bmod (J + I) = \bar{h}$ in $(A/(J + I))[t]$. Whence the Lemma. □

The following definition one can find in [Gab2, Section 0].

Definition A.3. The henselization of any pair (A, I) is the pair (A^h, I^h) (over (A, I)) defined as follows

$$(A^h, I^h) := \text{the filtered inductive limit over the category } \mathcal{N} \text{ of } (A', \operatorname{Ker}(\sigma)),$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ is the filtered category of pairs (A', σ) such that A' is an étale A-algebra and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}_{A-alg}(A', A/I)$.

From Lemma A.2 one can derive the following

Corollary A.4. *Let (A, I) be any pair with a semi-local commutative ring A and let (A^h, I^h) be the henselization of the pair (A, I). Let $J \subset A$ be an ideal. Then the henselization $((A/J)^h, ((J + I)/J)^h)$ of the pair $(A/J, (J + I)/J))$ coincides with the pair $(A^h/(J \cdot A^h), (J \cdot A^h + I^h)/J \cdot A^h)$.*

Given two pairs (A, I) and (A, J) with $I \subset J$ we will write (A^h_I, I^h) for (A^h, I^h) to stress that the henselization is taken with respect to the ideal I. Similarly, we will write (A^h_J, J^h) for (A^h, J^h). In this situation there is an obvious A-algebra homomorphism $\varphi^I_J : A^h_I \to A^h_J$ such that $\varphi^I_J(I^h) \subset J^h$. With this notation in hand we have the following obvious

Lemma A.5. *If $I = J_1 \cap J_2$ and $J_1 + J_2 = A$, then the map*

$$(\varphi^I_{J_1}, \varphi^I_{J_2}) : A^h_I \to A^h_{J_1} \times A^h_{J_2}$$

is an A-algebra isomorphism which induces an isomorphism

$$(A^h_I)/I^h \cong (A^h_{J_1})/J^h_1 \times (A^h_{J_2})/J^h_2.$$

The following proposition is useful

Proposition A.6. *For each pair (A, I) the filtered category $\mathcal{N}$ from Definition A.3 contains a co-final subcategory $\mathcal{N}'$ consisting of pairs $(A', \sigma) \in \mathcal{N}$ such that the induced homomorphism $A'/\operatorname{Ker}(\sigma) \to A/I$ is an A-algebra isomorphism.*

Proof. For $(B, \tau) \in \mathcal{N}$ set $\bar{B} = B/IB$. The map τ induces a ring homomorphism $\bar{\tau} : \bar{B} \to A/I$. The structure homomorphism $\varphi : A \to B$ induces a ring homomorphism $\bar{\varphi} : A/I \to \bar{B}$. Since φ is étale, $\bar{\varphi}$ is étale. Clearly, the composite map

$$A/I \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} \bar{B} \xrightarrow{\bar{\tau}} A/I$$

is the identity, and $\bar{\tau}$ induces an isomorphism $\bar{B}/\operatorname{Ker}(\bar{\tau}) \cong A/I$. Since $\bar{\varphi}$ is étale and $\bar{\tau} \circ \bar{\varphi}$ is the identity, by [AK, Prop. 4.7], $\bar{\tau}$ is étale.

In particular $\bar{\tau}$ induces an open morphism $\bar{\tau}^* : \operatorname{spec} A/I \to \operatorname{spec} \bar{B}$. Its image $\bar{\tau}^*(\operatorname{spec} A/I) = \operatorname{spec}(\bar{B}/\operatorname{Ker}(\bar{\tau}))$ is therefore open in $\operatorname{spec} \bar{B}$ and since it is also closed, $\bar{B}$ splits as $\bar{B}/\operatorname{Ker}(\bar{\tau}) \times \bar{B}/J = A/I \times B/J$ for an ideal $J \subset B$. Now let $f \in B$ be such that

$$f \bmod \operatorname{Ker}(\bar{\tau}) = 1, \text{ and } f \bmod J = 0.$$

There is a unique A-algebra homomorphism $\sigma : B_f \to A/I$ extending the map $\tau : B \to A/I$ and the A-algebra $A \to B \to B_f$ is étale. Take a pair $(A', \sigma) = (B_f, \sigma)$. Clearly, this pair is in $\mathcal{N}'$ and the map $B \to B_f = A'$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{N}$. Thus $\mathcal{N}'$ is a co-final subcategory in $\mathcal{N}$. □

References

[AK] Allen Altman and Steven Kleiman. *Introduction to Grothendieck duality theory.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 146. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.

[BF] Alexander Braverman and Michael Finkelberg. Pursuing the double affine Grassmannian. I. Transversal slices via instantons on A_k-singularities. *Duke Math. J.*, 152(2):175–206, 2010.

[Che] Vladimir Chernousov. Variations on a theme of groups splitting by a quadratic extension and Grothendieck-Serre conjecture for group schemes F_4 with trivial g_3 invariant. *Doc. Math.*, (Extra volume: Andrei A. Suslin sixtieth birthday):147–169, 2010.

[CTO] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène and Manuel Ojanguren. Espaces principaux homogènes localement triviaux. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (75):97–122, 1992.

[CTS] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène and Jean-Jacques Sansuc. Principal homogeneous spaces under flasque tori: applications. *J. Algebra*, 106(1):148–205, 1987.

[DG] M. Demazure and A. Grothendieck. *Schémas en groupes. III: Structure des schémas en groupes réductifs.* Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962/64 (SGA 3). Dirigé par M. Demazure et A. Grothendieck. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 153. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.

[Gab1] Ofer Gabber. Announced and still unpublished.

[Gab2] Ofer Gabber. Affine analog of the proper base change theorem. *Israel J. Math.*, 87(1-3):325–335, 1994.

[Gil1] Philippe Gille. Torseurs sur la droite affine. *Transform. Groups*, 7(3):231–245, 2002.

[Gil2] Philippe Gille. Le problème de Kneser-Tits. *Astérisque*, (326):Exp. No. 983, vii, 39–81 (2010), 2009. Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 2007/2008.

[Gro1] Alexander Grothendieck. Torsion homologique et sections rationnelles. In *Anneaux de Chow et applications, Séminaire Claude Chevalley*, number 3. Paris, 1958.

[Gro2] Alexander Grothendieck. Le groupe de Brauer. II. Théorie cohomologique. In *Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas*, pages 67–87. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.

[Gro3] Alexander Grothendieck. Technique de descente et théorèmes d'existence en géometrie algébrique. I. Généralités. Descente par morphismes fidèlement plats. In *Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 5, Exp. No. 190.*, pages 299–327. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1995.

[Mer] A. S. Merkur'ev. The norm principle for algebraic groups. *St. Petersburg Math. J.*, 7(2):243–264, 1996.

[Nis] Yevsey Nisnevich. Rationally trivial principal homogeneous spaces, purity and arithmetic of reductive group schemes over extensions of two-dimensional regular local rings. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 309(10):651–655, 1989.

[OP] Manuel Ojanguren and Ivan Panin. Rationally trivial Hermitian spaces are locally trivial. *Math. Z.*, 237(1):181–198, 2001.

[OPZ] M. Ojanguren, I. Panin, and K. Zainoulline. On the norm principle for quadratic forms. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 19(4):289–300, 2004.

[Pan] I. Panin. On Grothendieck–Serre's conjecture concerning principal G-bundles over reductive group schemes:II. *ArXiv e-prints, 0905.1423v2*, November 2009.

[Pop] Dorin Popescu. General Néron desingularization and approximation. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 104:85–115, 1986.

[PPS] I. Panin, V. Petrov, and A. Stavrova. Grothendieck-Serre conjecture for adjoint groups of types E_6 and E_7 and for certain classical groups. *ArXiv e-prints, 0905.1427*, December 2009.

[PS1] I. A. Panin and A. A. Suslin. On a conjecture of Grothendieck concerning Azumaya algebras. *St.Petersburg Math. J.*, 9(4):851–858, 1998.

[PS2] V. Petrov and A. Stavrova. Grothendieck-Serre conjecture for groups of type F_4 with trivial f_3 invariant. *ArXiv e-prints, 0911.3132*, November 2009.

[PSV1] I. Panin, A. Stavrova, and N. Vavilov. On Grothendieck–Serre's conjecture concerning principal G-bundles over reductive group schemes:I. *ArXiv e-prints, 0905.1418*, May 2009.

[PSV2] I. Panin, A. Stavrova, and N. Vavilov. Grothendieck-Serre Conjecture I: Appendix. *ArXiv e-prints, 0910.5465*, October 2009.

[Rag1] M. S. Raghunathan. Principal bundles admitting a rational section. *Invent. Math.*, 116(1-3):409–423, 1994.

[Rag2] M. S. Raghunathan. Erratum: "Principal bundles admitting a rational section" [Invent. Math. **116** (1994), no. 1-3, 409–423; MR1253199 (95f:14093)]. *Invent. Math.*, 121(1):223, 1995.

[Ser] Jean-Pierre Serre. Espaces fibrés algébrique. In *Anneaux de Chow et applications, Séminaire Claude Chevalley*, number 3. Paris, 1958.

[Swa] Richard G. Swan. Néron-Popescu desingularization. In *Algebra and geometry (Taipei, 1995)*, volume 2 of *Lect. Algebra Geom.*, pages 135–192. Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1998.

[Voe] Vladimir Voevodsky. $\mathbf{A}^1$-homotopy theory. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I (Berlin, 1998)*, number Extra Vol. I, pages 579–604 (electronic), 1998.

[Zai] Kirill Zainoulline. On Grothendieck conjecture about principal homogeneous spaces for some classical algebraic groups. *St.Petersburg Math. J.*, 12(1):117–143, 2001.

E-mail address: `fedorov@math.ksu.edu`

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, 138 CARDWELL HALL, MANHATTAN, KS 66506, USA

E-mail address: `paniniv@gmail.com`

STEKLOV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AT ST.-PETERSBURG, FONTANKA 27, ST.-PETERSBURG 191023, RUSSIA